AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial	
UNITED STATE	ES DISTRICT COUR T CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
	for the
	istrict of California FEB 2 2 2019
United States of America v.	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA BY DEPUT
MARCO CHACON	Case No. 2:19-mj-00546-1
Defendant)
ORDER OF DETEN	TION PENDING TRIAL
Part I - Eligi	bility for Detention
Upon the	
Motion of the Government attorney pursu ☐ Motion of the Government or Court's own the Court held a detention hearing and found that detentio and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i	n motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2), on is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact
	aw as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)
and the community because the following condition: (1) the defendant is charged with one of the following condition: (a) a crime of violence, a violation of 18	nditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person s have been met: following crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1): U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C.
	term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705	n of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the § 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or onvicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs
described in subparagraphs (a) through (jurisdiction had existed, or a combination	
(iii) any other dangerous weapon; or (iv)	ne of violence but involves: a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921); a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; <i>and</i> ted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C.

§ 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise

□ (3) the offense described in paragraph (2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was

committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; and (4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

to Federal jurisdiction had existed; and

■ Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:

■ (1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);

■ (2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;

■ (3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;

■ (4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or

■ (5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.

GC. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above

The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)

OR

☐ The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.

Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention

After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:

- By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.
- **by** a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.

In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:

☑ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong
☑ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted
☑ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision
☐ Lack of stable employment
☐ Lack of stable residence
☑ Lack of financially responsible sureties

Lack of significant community or family ties to this district		
☐ Significant family or other ties outside the United States		
☐ Lack of legal status in the United States		
☐ Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration		
☐ Prior failure to appear in court as ordered		
☐ Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement		
☐ Use of alias(es) or false documents		
■ Background information unknown or unverified		

OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:

Allegations of multiple instances of districution of methamphetamine and firearms, including a stolen firearm

Allegation that location where one transaction took place had countersurveillance video camera

Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Date:

2-22-19

United Mates Magistrate Judge

JOHN D. EARLY